

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/685,310	10/10/2000	Kurt Haas	1079-2	3443
75	90 12/08/2003		EXAMINER	
Jeffrey S Steen Esq			HAN, MARK K	
Dilworth & Bar			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
333 Earle Ovington Boulevard Uniondale, NY 11553			3763	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/685,310	HAAS ET AL.				
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark K Han	3763	10			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened of th	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate ext fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		-	may reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ₋	·				
10. ☐ Other:		mhh.				
		Mark Han Patent Examiner Art Unit 3763				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: In reference to amendment to claim 1, the proposed claim language does not place the claim in condition for allowance because the claimed structure is capable of performing the intended use. Applicants' remarks do not address how such claim language would structurally distinguish the claim from the prior art. In reference to claim 9, the proposed amendment raises new issues and new matter that would require further consideration and/or search.

BRIAN L. CASLER

SUPERMEST A FUTER TECHNOLOGY CANALIA 9789

2